

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		Wasi	iingion, D.C. 2023 i	A-9
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/156	,580 09/1	8/98 TAKATSUJI	н	085761-0004
			EXAMINER	
WIIII	HM12/0818 WILLIAM M SMITH			HΤΔ Δ
	TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW			PAPER NUMBER
8TH FL	TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER 8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834			1(
.∵.HIA L¥(HINCIDCU CH	74111-3634	DATE MAILED	: 08/18/ 99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/156,580**

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

TAKATSUJI et al

Ashwin Mehta

1649



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 1, 1999	•
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond with application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may 37 CFR 1.136(a).	nin the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims are subjection	
Application Papers	
⊠ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Ex	kaminer.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ap	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d).
🛮 All 🗌 Some* 🗌 None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do	ocuments have been
🔀 received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	·
\square received in this national stage application from the International Bo	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	<u> </u>
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.	S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)4	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

Application/Control Number: 09/156,580 Page 2

Art Unit: 1649

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims are broadly drawn toward a gene having DNA having nucleotides 190-807 from SEQ ID NO: 1, or any DNA which hybridizes to it and encodes any transcription factor capable of altering any character of a plant; or a gene encoding a transcription factor having the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2, or having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 wherein one or more amino acids are subjected to deletion, substituion, or addition, and being capable of altering any character of a plant; methods for producing a transgenic plant comprising introducing said gene into a plant cell; and the resultant transgenic plant.

Application/Control Number: 09/156,580

Page 3

Art Unit: 1649

The only gene described by specification which encodes a transcription factor capable of altering the height and internode length of a plant upon introduction into a plant is that set forth in SEQ ID NO: 1, and which encodes the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2. Other genes encoding DNA which hybridize to nucleotides 190-807 of SEQ ID NO: 1, or encode the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 wherein one or more amino acids are subject to deletion. substitution, or addition, and which can alter any characteristic of a plant, are not described by the specification. See Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016 at 1021 and 1027, (Fed. Cir. 1991) at page 1021, where it is taught that a gene is not obtained until the inventor can define it by "its physical or chemical properties" (e.g. a DNA sequence), and at page 1027, where it is taught that the disclosure of a few gene sequences did not enable claims broadly drawn to any analog thereof. Also see *University of California v. Eli Lilly*, 119 F.3d 1559, 43 USPQ 2d 1398 (Fed. Cir. 1997), where it states: "The name cDNA is not in itself a written description of that DNA; it conveys no distinguishing information concerning its identity. While the example provides a process for obtaining human insulin-encoding cDNA, there is no further information in the patent pertaining to that cDNA's relevant structural or physical characteristics; in other words, it thus does not describe human insulin cDNA... Accordingly, the specification does not provide a written description of the invention..." Given the breadth of the claims encompassing genes encoding substitutions, deletions and additions of amino acid sequences of SEQ ID NO: 2, and genes encoding DNA which hybridize to nucleotides 190-807 of SEQ ID

Application/Control Number: 09/156,580

Art Unit: 1649

NO: 1, and the lack of guidance as discussed above, the specification fails to provide an adequate

Page 4

written description of the multitude of nucleotide sequences encompassed by the claims.

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to

which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claims are broadly drawn toward a gene having DNA having nucleotides 190-807

from SEQ ID NO: 1, or any DNA which hybridizes to it and encodes any transcription factor

capable of altering any character of a plant; or a gene encoding a transcription factor having the

amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2, or having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID

NO: 2 wherein one or more amino acids are subjected to deletion, substituion, or addition, and

being capable of altering any character of a plant; methods for producing a transgenic plant

comprising introducing said gene into a plant cell; and the resultant transgenic plant.

The specification does not teach any genes that hybridize to nucleotides 190-807 of SEO

ID NO: 1, nor genes encoding variations of SEQ ID NO: 2, and which are capable of altering any

character of a plant. The specification does not reveal the changes to the nucleotide sequence that

define these sequences which hybridize to SEQ ID NO: 1 or encode variants of SEQ ID NO: 2.

See Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., supra. Also see In re Bell, 26 USPQ2d

1529, 1532 (Fed. Cir. 1993) and In re Deuel, 34 UPSQ2d, 1210 (Fed. Cir. 1995), which teach

that the mere existence of a protein does not enable claims drawn to a nucleic acid encoding that

Art Unit: 1649

protein. It would require undue experimentation by one skilled in the art to produce, define, and evaluate these variants for conservation of enzymatic function. Given the claim breadth encompassing deletions, substitutions, or additions of the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2, and DNA which hybridizes to SEQ ID NO: 1, unpredictability of the art and lack of guidance of the specification, undue experimentation would be required by one skilled in the art to make and use the claimed invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 1-9 dependent thereon, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "having" renders claim 1 indefinite. It is not clear what other nucleotide sequences are present in the claimed gene. It is also not clear what other DNA sequences besides nucleotides 190-807 of SEQ ID NO: 1 are present in the DNA of part a).

Claim 1 is also indefinite because the stringent conditions of part b) are not defined. It is also unclear what "characters of a plant" are being referred to in claim 1, part b).

Claim 2 is also indefinite because it is unclear what "characters of a plant" are being referred to in part ii).

Application/Control Number: 09/156,580

Art Unit: 1649

Claim 4 is also indefinite because it is unclear whether "plant body" refers to a plant part

or an entire differentiated plant. If the recitation only refers to a plant part, then the last step of

the claimed method would not be producing a transgenic plant.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 3 is objected to because of the recitations "a height of a plant" and " a length of an

internode", as there can be only one height and length at any particular time. The claim would be

clearer if the recitations were amended to read -- the height-- and -- the length--.

5. Claim 4 is objected to for the recitation "introducing a plant...the introduced gene". The

recitation would be clearer and more precise if it were amended to read --introducing the gene of

claim 1 into a plant cell, and regenerating the plant cell into a transgenic plant--.

6. Claim 5 is objected to because the recitation "the plant belongs to dicotyledon" is not

precise. It is suggested that the recitation be amended to read -- the plant is a dicotyledon--.

7. Claim 6 is objected because the recitation "the plant belongs to Solanaceae" is not precise.

It is suggested that the recitation be amended to read -- the plant is a member of the Solanaceae

family--.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/156,580 Page 7

Art Unit: 1649

8. Claim 7 is objected to because the recitation "the plant belongs to Petunia" is not precise.

It is suggested that the recitation be amended to read -- the plant is a member of the Petunia

genus--.

9. Claims 1-9 are deemed free of the prior art, given the failure of the prior art to teach or

reasonably suggest the isolated nucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 1, or a gene

encoding a transcription factor with the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2, from

Petunia hybrida.

CLOSING REMARKS

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Ashwin

Mehta, whose telephone number is (703) 306-4540. The Examiner can normally be reached

Monday-Friday, from 8:30 A.M. - 5:00 P.M. The fax phone number for the group is (703) 305-

3014. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Lynette Smith, can be reached at (703) 308-3909. Any inquiry of a general nature or

relating to the status of the application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose

telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Ashwin D. Mehta

August 13, 1999

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600